

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,876	11/03/2003	Gerald Bauldock Sr.	2875	
38831	7590 07/21/2005		EXAM	INER
	AULDOCK		MENDIRATTA, VISHU K	
16 MEADOW BROOK PLACE WILLINGBORO, NJ 08046			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711 DATE MAILED: 07/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Jala

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/605,876	BAULDOCK, GERALD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vishu K. Mendiratta	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 November 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 10.	epted or b) objected to by the Elrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	·				

Application/Control Number: 10/605,876

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peterson (5906371).

Peterson teaches a board game having a track (12), game spaces with indicia (14), multi-level cards (2:39-45), game pieces and random selection device (2:1-5). The only difference between applicant's game and the cited reference resides in meaning and information conveyed by the printed matter that is not considered allowable. In this regard the educational board game of Peterson teaches a variety of subject matter that can be used for playing the game by merely changing the indicia on the game. In order to teach a periodical table and ask related question, it would have been obvious to modify the game to include indicia of periodical table.

One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested modifying game indicia to include periodic table subject matter to make the game attractive to players that are interested in such educational subjects.

Art Unit: 3711

With respect to alternative structures of means for presenting questions/answers, such means are commonly used as cards, books etc. and it would have been obvious to include such features in the game.

Note for applicant: Rules/methods for playing a game do not further limit the apparatus in the claim. For example limitations such as randomly selecting, determining value, based on, one directional, representing, do not tell structure of an apparatus. Also applicant's claim limitations (for example: are being broadly and

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishu K. Mendiratta whose telephone number is (571) 272-4426. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on (571) 272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vishu K Mendiratta Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711 VKM July 18, 2005 Page 4